

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

entitled to damages, such question could not be determined until after the commission had determined that the connection was necessary and had outlined the place and manner of effecting it.

5. Railroads—Connections—Traffic Facilities—Corporation Commission.—The State Corporation Commission has ample jurisdiction to establish rules governing the traffic relations between two intersecting railroads.

NORFOLK & W. RY. CO. v. STEGALL'S Adm'x.

June 20, 1907.

[57 S. E. 657.]

Trial—Instructions—Applicability of Evidence.—Where plaintiff's intestate was killed as he was walking for his own purposes over defendant's railroad bridge, and there was no proof that intestate was placed in a perilous situation by any act or omission of defendant, an instruction that one may not by his own negligence or want of proper care place another in a perilous situation, and, when sued for injuries resulting therefrom, put the burden on plaintiff to show that he acted with reasonable care, etc., was properly refused, as inapplicable.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see Cent. Dig. vol. 20, Evidence, §§ 596-612.]